Quinquennial Inspections:

Assessment criteria and scoring Each of the below criteria will be scored 1 (minimal evidence/ not satisfactory) – 10 (excellent evidence / completely satisfactory) and the weighting shown below will then be applied.

Candidate (Name)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Assessment criteria | Weighting % | Score (1-10) and comments | Weight score |
| The candidate has demonstrated appropriate experience of work with unlisted / Grade II /Grade II\* / Grade I listed / Major churches (see CBC guidance) | 20% |  |  |
| The candidate has demonstrated a relevant level of accreditation, skills and professional development (as advised by DAC with regard to CBC guidance) including relevant experience of environmental matters | 30% |  |  |
| 3. Ability to work to the Diocesan Scheme and follow the CBC guidance | 10% |  |  |
| 3. Evidence of the production of quality reporting which is clear and concise | 10% |  |  |
| 4. Communication skills | 10% |  |  |
| 5. Support from references | 10% |  |  |
| 6. Clear and appropriate fee structure | 10% |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |